Not Just a Big Pipe...The Lower Willamette River and its Role in the Outmigration and Ecology of Juvenile Chinook Salmon





Portland Harbor – Lower Willamette River Cleanup and Restoration Conference May 4, 2012



Scope of the "Willamette Fish Study"



Migration



Diet



Habitat survey



Predation



Invertebrates



Habitat use



Chinook Outmigration and Ecology

- Timing and density
- Migration rate / residence time
- Habitat use
- Diet
- Growth and rearing



Methods and Fun Facts

- Duration May 2000 to July 2003
- Electrofishing 982 (900 sec.) runs
- Beach seining 568 sets
- Radio telemetry 95 fish >100 mm released
- Captured ~42,000 fish; 4,383 juvenile Chinook
- Unmarked Chinook = 92% of seine catch
- Hatchery Chinook = 81% of electrofishing catch
- Mean FL: 154 mm (hatchery); ~70 mm (unmarked)



From spawning areas

Spring Chinook Life-history Pathways



Outmigration Timing (Unmarked Chinook) - LWR





Results and Conclusions Migration Timing & Density

- Chinook present virtually all year 34 of 35 months sampled
- Average outmigration period January to June
- Peak densities April and May
- Hatchery fish timing and densities similar to unmarked
- Implications for in-water work timing (December & January)
- Perhaps ~10 M juvenile Chinook pass through the LWR

Chinook Migration Rate (>100 mm FL)



Effect of River Flow on Migration Rate



Effect of Fork Length on Migration Rate



Log₁₀ Fork Length (mm)

Chinook Habitat Use (telemetry)



Chinook Habitat Use (electrofishing)





Results and Conclusions Migration & Habitat Use (biotelemetry)

- Chinook >100 mm FL generally move quickly through the LWR (median residence time 3.4 days)
- Some remain as long as 34 days
- Little evidence for habitat "selection"
- No apparent association with littoral areas
- Migration rates related to river flow and fork length



Results and Conclusions Habitat Use (direct sampling)

- Generally supported telemetry results Chinook >100 mm FL did not exhibit selection for or avoidance of habitat types
- Exception: seawalls
- Some high catches in off-channel areas, but not significantly different from main channel
- Unmarked subyearling Chinook <u>abundant at beaches;</u> corroborated by literature; use of other habitats unknown

Chinook Diet (by number of prey items)



Chinook Diet (by weight of prey items)



Chinook Diet ("fullness" index)



Feeding Strategy Plot



Dominant



Results and Conclusions Diet

- Chinook actively feeding throughout LWR (~ 5% empty stomachs and 123 food items / fish)
- Daphnia: recommended by 9 out of 10 growing salmon (> 90% of diet by number, > 40% by weight); specialized, selective diet
- Seasonal shift to Corophium
- Potential competition with hatchery Chinook, coho, smallmouth bass

Length and Weight of Hatchery Chinook, Upstream vs. Downstream









The Oregonian, April 28, 2004





Results and Conclusions Growth / Rearing

- Significant (positive) differences in length and weight between upstream and downstream sites
- Observed FL increases of 1-14 mm realistic to high, based on known growth rates and estimated migration rates
- Multiple explanations: growth, estuary-type rearing, Columbia outmigrants
- Differential mortality & other factors...?

Unanswered Questions (and Concerns)

- Subyearling behavior and habitat use
- Predation
- Introduced species
- Habitat modification, conservation
- Contributions of life-history types





Questions?

and the second